

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,443	11/24/2003		Bernad H. vanBilderbeek	121947.0010.004	7125
30544	7590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER		
JACKSON WALKER, L.L.P. SUITE 2100				GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS	
112 EAST PECAN ST.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78205			3672		

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer.	10/721,443	VANBILDERBEEK, BERNAD H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer H. Gay	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-4,11-40 and 44-49 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,31,32,36-40,47 and 48 is/are rejection 7) Claim(s) 11-30,33-35,44-46 and 49 is/are objection 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration. cted. cted to.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 31, 32, 36, 38-40, 47, 48, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Broussard (US 1,989,679, referred to hereafter as Brou).

Regarding claim 1: Brou discloses a releasable clamp for securing a smaller tubular member 20 having an inner and outer wall and of a first diameter axially disposed within a larger tubular member 19 having a first end, second end, a central axis, and inner and outer walls of a second, larger internal diameter (Figure 5). The clamp includes the following features:

- ➤ A peripheral member 11 having an inner diameter and an outer diameter and being disposed around the larger tubular member between the tubular member's first and second ends.
- A clamping device 26 in communication with the peripheral member for generating an axial force on the peripheral member for reducing the inner diameter of the peripheral member and the inner diameter of the larger tubular member for releasably engaging and securing the smaller tubular member. The examiner notes that, while it clear that the clamping device decreases the inner diameter of the larger tubular, the clamping device will also decrease the inner diameter of the peripheral member as the screw bolts of the device will draw in the peripheral member as they are tightened.

Regarding claims 2, 3: As seen in Figure 5, the peripheral member is mounted on and peripheral surrounds the outer wall of the larger tubular member.

Regarding claim 4: The clamping device is non-invasive (Figures 8-10).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443

Art Unit: 3672

Regarding claim 31: While not specifically disclosed, the peripheral member and thus the clamping device would be selectively movable along the axis of the larger tubular member until the peripheral member was in the desired position.

Page 3

Regarding claim 32: The clamp further includes a holding device 29, 31 for securing the peripheral member and clamping device in the selected position.

Regarding claim 36: The clamp includes a seal 30 located between the smaller and larger tubulars.

Regarding claims 38, 39: The clamp includes a plurality of clamp assemblies or rings axially spaced along the outer periphery of the larger tubular.

Regarding claim 40: Brou discloses a method for clamping a smaller tubular member within a larger tubular member using the above clamp. The method involves the following steps:

- > Placing the smaller tubular member within the larger tubular member.
- > Exerting a radially inward force on the exterior of the larger tubular member at a desired point.
- Flexing the larger tubular member at that point inward slightly to cause a restriction in a portion of the inner diameter of the larger tubular member at that point. While the examiner notes that Brou does not specifically teach decreasing the inner diameter of the larger tubular member to a point that a restriction that secures the smaller tubular member is formed, the tightening of the screw bolts of the clamping device to their fullest inward position would inherent achieve this feature.
- > Securing the smaller tubular member within the larger tubular member adjacent the restriction.

Regarding claims 47, 48: The method further involves first moving the peripheral member to a desired location along the larger tubular member and then applying the force.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Regarding claim 50: The step of securing is accomplished by causing the restriction of the larger tubular member to apply a clamping force to the smaller member at a desired point, i.e. at the location of one of the clamping devices.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brou in view of Wester (US 6,513,596).

Brou discloses all of the limitations of the above claims except for a strain gage being located on the inner wall of the smaller tubular member.

Wester discloses a casing hanger system and further teaches the use of strain gages within the system (2:26-35, 6:33-36).

It would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the clamp assembly of Brou to include a strain gage as taught by Wester in order to have provided a means for monitoring the pressure within the casing string and thus prevent hazardous pressure leaks (1:15-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11-30, 33-35, 44-46, and 49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. In view of applicant's amendment, the objections the specification and claims have been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443 Page 5

Art Unit: 3672

7. Applicant's arguments filed October 17 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Brou teaches a casing head something which applicant is not claiming. Applicant further argues that a clamping device that is disposed somewhere in a well's casing below the casing top or head is being claimed. In response the examiner notes that this feature or limitation in not actually recited in the claims and thus applicant's argument is moot.

Applicant has further argued that Brou does not teach that the "peripheral member" is located between the larger tubular member's first and second ends". The examiner notes that the claims do not limit the "peripheral member" being located only between the first and second ends of the larger tubular member or exclude the "peripheral member" from extending to or beyond one of the two ends.

Applicant has further argued that it is impossible for Brou to position a clamp externally around the well casing farther down the hole. While the examiner acknowledges that this is true, again applicant has not claimed this feature.

Applicant has further argued that Brou does not teach flexing the larger tubular member to reduce its inner diameter and clamp the smaller tubular member within the larger tubular member adjacent this reduction in diameter. While the examiner recognizes that this feature is not specifically shown or taught by Brou, the force applied to the larger tubular member by the clamping device, first, do decrease the inner diameter of the larger diameter, and second, would inherently decrease the inner diameter to a point where the larger tubular member was in engagement with the smaller tubular member as the screw bolts are tightened inward to their fullest extent.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer H. Gay whose telephone number is (571) 272-7029. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00 and Friday, 6:30-1:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,443 Page 6

Art Unit: 3672

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (571) 272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leghiifer H Gay Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

November 16, 2005